Minnesota Contextual Content Analysis (MCCA)

Ken Litkowski CL Research ken@clres.com http://www.clres.com http://www.clres.com/cata/index.html

> Don McTavish University of Minnesota Dmctavish@earthlink.net

CL Research

Minnesota Contextual Content Analysis

- Characterizing a text based on the relative frequency with which words in each category are used, compared to norms determined from general usage statistics for the English language
- Several statistics are generated from this analysis, for direct use or for further statistical analysis
- All words in one or more texts are divided into 116 idea categories (plus a "not classified" category)
- The MCCA dictionary groups word meanings into categories thought to express (singly or in combinations of categories) ideas important to an investigator
- Two kinds of normed scores (emphasis or E-scores and context or C-scores) are generated for each analyzed text
- Suitable for texts of any length (short open-ended questionnaire items, sentences such as Likert scale items, multi-person transcripts)
- Sample: Presidential announcement speeches by Bradley, Buchanan, Bush, Forbes, Gore, and McCain

Processing a Text

Text Preparation

- Ordinary text file, with a title field and a marker to separate texts
- > Quick overall prescreen of text
- Specify options (e.g., use of a stop list for display and/or analysis)

MCCA Dictionary

- Over 11,000 words classified into one or more categories (with inflected forms as distinct entries
- Dictionary stored in CL Research's DIMAP dictionary maintenance software

Processing

- MCCA dictionary is loaded
- Tokenizer identifies each word and creates underlying statistics (about 10 minutes to process 2 MB file), disambiguating by context
- Statistics available at completion of processing (user can click buttons or tab to examine results for individual documents or across documents)

Text Statistics

Text Statistics

 \times

Character count (100858) Line count (1555) Text groups (6) Sentence count (808) Word count (12860) Average word length (4.44) Ave. wds per sent. (15.9) Max. wds in sent. (91) Empty text groups (0) Empty titles (0) No titles (0) Multiple titles (0) Long titles (0) Missing title ends (0) Text before title (0) Comment segments (1) Missing comment ends (0) Quantitative data segments (0) Missing guantitative ends (0) No final terminator (0) ÖK

CL Research

- Word Accounting, Lookup (KWIC), Words in Category, Word List
- C(ontext)-Score: Weighted scores and plots, Distance Matrix
- E(mphasis)-Score: High Categories, Selected Plots, Difference Analysis, Diagnostic Groups, Distance Matrix
- Other: Co-Occurrence of categories, SPSS Output (for further analyses), KYST Output (for multidimensional scaling)

Word Analysis

- Word Accounting (for all text groups in the file and for each individual text group)
 - the total number of words; the percentage of unique words in the texts; the total number of words for which a category was available; percentage of tokens categorized, the percentage of unique words that were categorized, and average length, the standard deviation of the lengths, and the shortest and longest lengths.
- Words alphabetically, by category, by frequency
- Alphabetical list of words with counts for selection of keywords in context

Word Accounting

📕 Minnesota Contextual Content Analysis (MCCA)									
Input File: C:\Builder5\Projects\MCCALite\announcements.txt Add a Saved File									
Text Selection: 1: Bradley : Announcement									
E-Score Cutoff: 5.0		<u>F</u> requency	Selection: 1	-			🥐 <u>Н</u> еlp		
Word Analysis C-Score Analy	Word Analysis C-Score Analysis E-Score Analysis								
Word Accounting Words by	Category/Fre	guency 🛛 Loo	kup (KWIC) 📔						
Statistics	Totals	1	2	3	4	5	6		
Total Words in Text	12790	3305	2284	2032	1045	1410	2714		
Percent Unique Words	34.30	31.44	38.00	33.46	40.38	36.31	31.91		
Total Words Categorized	11496	2987	1956	1881	933	1267	2472		
Percent Categorized	89.88	90.38	85.64	92.57	89.28	89.86	91.08		
Percent Unique Wds Catd	77.14	75.94	69.47	83.09	79.38	80.47	78.52		
Word LengthMean	4.55	4.38	4.62	4.52	4.72	4.60	4.61		
Word LengthStd Dev	2.56	2.43	2.62	2.56	2.63	2.62	2.61		
Word LengthLow/High		1/16	1/22	1/16	1/15	1/15	1/18		

CL Research

Frequency Analysis

Minnesota Contextua	l Content Analysis (MCCA)							
Input File: C:\B	C:\Builder5\Projects\MCCALite\announcements.txt Add a Saved File							
Qutput File:								
Text Selection: 1: Bradl	ey : Announcement		-		1			
<u>E</u> -Score Cutoff: 1: Bradley : Announcement 2: Buchanan : Announcement ? Help 3: Bush : Announcement								
	Announcement							
Lookup Word	Category	Cat. No.	Percentage	Frequency				
engendering	Begin Action	69	0.00	1				
sought	Begin Action	69	0.00	1				
started	Begin Action	69	0.00	1				
starts	Begin Action	69	0.00	1				
train	Begin Action	69	0.00	1				
trains	Begin Action	69	0.00	1				
am	Being	37	0.00	8				
are	Being	37	0.01	25				
be	Being	37	0.01	23				
been	Being	37	0.00	4				
being	Being	37	0.00	2				
is	Being	37	0.01	36				
life	Beina	37	0.00	8	T			

CL Research

Keywords in Context

Word <u>A</u> ccounting Wor	ds by Category/Freguency	<u>L</u> ookup (KWIC)		
a (76)	again (5)			asked (3)	
about (15)	ago (1)			asks (1)	
above (2)	ahead (1)			assistant (1)	
Abraham (1)*	all (26)			at (20) Atlantia (1):	
absorbed (1)*	all-time (1)*			Atlantic (1)*	
achieve (2)	also (7) alwawa (2)			attached (1)	
across (1) adds (1)	always (3) am (8)		F	attempted (1) Auddifreds (1)*	
Administration (1)	America (16)*		· · ·	available (2)	
adopted (1)	American (11)			awkward (1)	
affected (1)	Americans (10)*			back (1)	
affiliation (1)*	America's (1)*			balance (1)	
after (4)	Among (3)			ball (2)	
afternoon (1)	an (5)	a	s (34)	bank (1)	
	Left Context	Item	Right Context		<u> </u>
ew our faith in e	Left Context ach other. I am listening to	Item America		ising money from	
					<u>,</u>
at if they elect	ach other. I am listening to me, I'll define more clearly	America America	, not to the pundits. I am ra 's role in the world. I'll guar	d the economic	•
at if they elect to bridge the divi	ach other. I am listening to me, I'll define more clearly ide of prejudice so that the	America America America	, not to the pundits. I am ra 's role in the world. I'll guar of the new millennium see	d the economic s deeper than skin c	
at if they elect to bridge the divi that the people wi	ach other. I am listening to me, I'll define more clearly ide of prejudice so that the Il understand. I believe	America America America America	, not to the pundits. I am ra 's role in the world. I'll guard of the new millennium see should be made whole, but I	d the economic s deeper than skin c don't want to era	
at if they elect to bridge the divi that the people wi onderful creativity. 1	ach other. I am listening to me, I'll define more clearly ide of prejudice so that the Il understand. I believe The beautiful paradox of	America America America America America	, not to the pundits. I am ra 's role in the world. I'll guar of the new millennium see should be made whole, but I is this: That we are many	d the economic s deeper than skin c don't want to era y, that we are indi	
at if they elect to bridge the divi that the people wi onderful creativity. 1	ach other. I am listening to me, I'll define more clearly ide of prejudice so that the Il understand. I believe	America America America America	, not to the pundits. I am ra 's role in the world. I'll guard of the new millennium see should be made whole, but I	d the economic s deeper than skin c don't want to era y, that we are indi	
at if they elect to bridge the divi that the people wi onderful creativity, 1 one family, o	ach other. I am listening to me, I'll define more clearly ide of prejudice so that the Il understand. I believe The beautiful paradox of	America America America America America	, not to the pundits. I am ra 's role in the world. I'll guar of the new millennium see should be made whole, but I is this: That we are many	d the economic s deeper than skin c don't want to era y, that we are indi erica that is as	
at if they elect to bridge the divi that the people wi onderful creativity, 1 one family, o	ach other. I am listening to me, I'll define more clearly ide of prejudice so that the Il understand. I believe The beautiful paradox of ne nation. I still see an	America America America America America	, not to the pundits. I am ra 's role in the world. I'll guard of the new millennium see should be made whole, but I is this: That we are many of endless possibility. An Am	d the economic s deeper than skin c don't want to era y, that we are indi erica that is as	
at if they elect to bridge the divi that the people wi onderful creativity. 1 one family, o I still see an Americ	ach other. I am listening to me, I'll define more clearly ide of prejudice so that the Il understand. I believe The beautiful paradox of ne nation. I still see an a of endless possibility. An	America America America America America America	, not to the pundits. I am ra 's role in the world. I'll guard of the new millennium see should be made whole, but I is this: That we are many of endless possibility. An Am that is as generous as it is	d the economic s deeper than skin c don't want to era y, that we are indi erica that is as prosperous, that	
at if they elect to bridge the divi that the people wi onderful creativity. T one family, o I still see an Americ he majority share	ach other. I am listening to me, I'll define more clearly ide of prejudice so that the Il understand. I believe The beautiful paradox of ne nation. I still see an a of endless possibility. An	America America America America America America American	, not to the pundits. I am ra 's role in the world. I'll guard of the new millennium see should be made whole, but I is this: That we are many of endless possibility. An Am that is as generous as it is	d the economic s deeper than skin c don't want to era y, that we are indi erica that is as prosperous, that	

CL Research

Word Accounting Observations

- Texts range from 1045 to 3305 words
- High percent categorized indicates broad conversational style (no technical words, no proper nouns), least so for Buchanan
- Percent unique words at low end of expected 30 to 50 percent range, indicating some degree of repetitiveness, Bradley and McCain the most, and Forbes the least
- General impression indicates these announcements are trying to communicate to broad groups

Context Score Analysis

- Analysis of words across four social contexts (practical, traditional, emotional, analytic)
 - Each context dimension is a function of the emphasis in the text across a large number of idea categories and is represented by a vector of weights
 - Contexts are experimental, empirically-derived profiles of relative emphasis on each idea category
- Normed and raw plots show emphasis on different dimensions (facilitating genre analysis)
- Distance matrix across texts allows broad interpretation of style differences
 - Standard euclidean distance computation
 - The larger the distance measure, the greater the social context distance between the two positions
 - The larger the distance measure, it is hypothesized that communications difficulties are likely to be encountered because there is little shared perspective

Context Scores

🖬 Minnesota Contextual Content Analysis (MCCA)									
Input File: C:\Builder5\Projects\MCCALite\announcements.txt Add a Saved File									
Qutput File:									
Text Selection: 1:	Bradley : Announcer	ment		<u> </u>					
E-Score Cutoff: 5.	0 -	Erequency Sele	ection: 1		<u>? H</u> elp				
Word Analysis C-So	Word Analysis C- <u>S</u> core Analysis E-Sc <u>o</u> re Analysis								
Scores (Weighted)	Plots (Weighted)	Scores (Raw) Plots	(Raw) Distance M	atrix					
Text Group	Traditional	Practical	Emotional	Analytic	Words Used 🔺				
Weighted Average	19.72	-4.46	-4.57	-10.68	11496				
1	15.46	-11.99	9.54	-13.01	2987				
2	25.00	-0.60	-20.15	-4.25	1956				
3	3 25.00 -15.31 -0.57 -9.12 1881								
4	4 17.24 7.76 -4.25 -20.75 933								
5	5.27	19.73	-2.33	-22.67	1267				
6	25.00	-7.20	-13.62	-4.18	2472 💌				

CL Research

Context Score Plots

👫 Minnesota Contextual Co	ontent An	alysis (MCCA)				_ 🗆 ×			
Input File: C:\Builder5\Projects\MCCALite\announcements.txt Add a Saved File									
Output File:	Output File:								
<u>E</u> -Score Cutoff: 5.0									
			Хет. н.	. 1					
Scores (Weighted) Plots (We	igntea) S	cores (Raw) Plots (Ra	awj Distance Mal	30X					
		Practical Contex							
Text Group	C-Score	-25		0		+25			
Bradley : Announcement	-11.99								
Buchanan : Announcement	-0.60								
Bush : Announcement	-15.31								
Forbes : Announcement	7.76								
Gore : Announcement	19.73								
McCain : Announcement	-7.20								

CL Research

Context Score Observations

- Announcement speeches are decidedly non-analytic and almost completely non-emotional (except for Bradley)
- Republicans (Buchanan, Bush, Forbes, and McCain) focus on traditional dimension to the maximum (norms and expectations for proper behavior)
- Gore with emphasis on practical dimension (focus on goal accomplishment)
 - Of all dimensions, contrast between Bush and Gore is greatest on the practical
- Gore and Bush most different among all pairs, while Buchanan and McCain are most similar, with Bradley and Bush next most similar

Emphasis Scores

- Shows the emphasis (called E-scores) placed on each of many (116) idea categories
- An idea category consists of a group of words which reflect a given idea or meaning
- Scores are "normed" against expected usage of the words in an idea category so that positive E-scores indicate an overemphasis of the idea category and negative E-scores indicate a relative omission of a given idea in the text
 - Normed scores are computed in a z-score-like fashion, contrasting category proportions with the expected probability of use of a given idea category, divided by a standard deviation of expected category usage across the four social contexts.

Emphasis Score Analyses

Distance Matrix

- A "probability" distance between each pair of text groups in the input file over all 116 E-Score categories
- Texts that are "more" similar to one another have lower "distances" between them.

High Categories

 Grouped into 23 super-categories, but showing only categories meeting a cutoff score

Difference Analysis

Differences in E-scores between a reference text and all the others

Diagnostic Groups

• 43 emphasis score (EScore) combinations

Selected Plots

Categories meeting a cutoff score showing over- or underemphasis

Emphasis Score Analyses

High Categories

Word Analysis C- <u>S</u> core Analysis E-Sc <u>o</u> re Analysis								
High Categories Select	High Categories Selected Plots Difference Analysis Diagnostic Groups Distance Matrix							
	Pronouns (36, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43)							
Cat No.		Pct.	1	2	3	4	5	6
PR	ONOUNS (N=7)	14.80	2.44	1.09	1.82	1.42	0.92	2.11
36	36 Object 4.25 8.15 1.29 2.95 -2.82 -0.33 2.65						2.65	
38	You	0.91	-1.29	-1.42	0.62	5.91	-0.48	-0.76
41	Thev	1.25	-0.56	0.39	1.39	2.67	-3.86	0.75
42	We	3.94	8.95	9.52	7.41	4.55	9.55	11.52

Selected Plots

Word Analysis C- <u>S</u> core Analysis E-Sc <u>o</u> re Analysis							
High Categories Selected Plots Difference Analysis Diagnostic Groups Distance Matrix							
Prohibit							
Text Group	E-Score	-9		0	9		
Bradley : Announcement	-5.51						
Buchanan : Announcement	4.23						
Bush : Announcement	1.79				_		
Forbes : Announcement	1.59						
Gore : Announcement	-5.51						
McCain : Announcement	8.16						

CL Research

Emphasis Score Observations (1)

- Pairwise distances among the six candidates are very similar, indicating equidistant emphases on different ideas
- Most prominent deviation from the norm exhibited by Bush in emphasis on failure (45.71), also strongly emphasized by McCain (38.60), Bradley (10.76), and Buchanan (11.34)
- Next most prominent was Gore's use of postive adjectives expression the idea of "good" (22.75), also emphasized by Bush (11.14). Bush also emphasized positive adjectives expressing "tenderness" (10.81). Forbes emphasized "happy" (8.05) and Bradley "good" (8.44)
- All candidates showed relative overemphasis on movement in space (up, down, back, close): Bush (11.50), Bradley (12.78), Gore (14.89), Buchanan (17.14), Forbes (8.30), McCain (8.32)

Emphasis Score Observations (2)

- McCain (11.42) and Bush (11.70) emphasis on traditional symbols "children" and "young"
- Gore (15.00) and Bush (14.46) emphasis on status words such as "weak", "poor" and "underprivileged"
- Guidance verbs: "guide" (Gore 9.99), "prohibit" (McCain 8.16, Buchanan 4.23), "submit" (McCain 7.45)
- Deviance verbs: "deviant behavior" (Buchanan 6.67, Gore 6.04, McCain -5.32), "creative process" (Gore 6.83, Bush 7.76), "about changing" (McCain 5.97)

Other Analyses

- Cooccurrence Analysis: shows, for each category, which are the four most frequent categories that follow
- Multidimensional Scaling: context and emphasis scores are analyzed using KYST
- C-score and E-score output for further analysis, particularly with other data (see other papers available at web site for examples)